Annual Audit Letter
2018-19

NHS Nottingham City Clinical Commissioning Group

23rd July 2019
This report is addressed to NHS Nottingham City CCG (the CCG) and has been prepared for the sole use of the CCG. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties.

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body’s own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.
Introduction

Background

This Annual Audit Letter (the letter) summarises the key issues arising from our 2018-19 audit at NHS Nottingham City Clinical Commissioning Group (the CCG). Although this letter is addressed to the Members of the Governing Body of the CCG, it is also intended to communicate these issues to external stakeholders, such as members of the public. It is the responsibility of the CCG to publish this letter on the CCG's website.

In the letter we highlight areas of good performance and also provide recommendations to help the CCG improve performance where appropriate. We have reported all the issues in this letter to the CCG during the year and we have provided a list of our reports in Appendix A.

Scope of our audit

The statutory responsibilities and powers of appointed auditors are set out in the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. Our main responsibility is to carry out an audit that meets the requirements of the National Audit Office’s Code of Audit Practice (the Code) which requires us to report on:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Statements including the regularity opinion and Governance Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We provide an opinion on the CCG’s financial statements. That is whether we believe the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial affairs of the CCG and of the income and expenditure recorded during the year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We are also required to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— form a view on the regularity of the CCG’s income and expenditure i.e. that the expenditure and income included in the CCG’s financial statements has been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— report by exception if the CCG has not complied with the requirements of NHS England in the preparation of its Governance Statement; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— examine and report on the consistency of the schedules or returns prepared by the CCG for consolidation into the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) with our other work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value for Money arrangements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We conclude on the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness (value for money) in the CCG’s use of resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adding value from the External Audit service

We have added value to the CCG from our service throughout the year through our:

— attendance at meetings with members of the Governing Body and Audit Committee to present our audit findings, broaden our knowledge of the CCG and provide insight into sector developments and examples of best practice;

— proactive and pragmatic approach to issues arising in the production of the financial statements to ensure that our opinion is delivered on time;

— incorporation of data analytics into our programme of work to, for example, identify high risk journals for testing and

— strong and effective working relationship with Internal Audit to maximise assurance to the Audit Committee, avoid duplication and provide value for money.

Fees

Our fee for 2018-19 was £38,109 (2017-18: £36,109) excluding VAT. This fee was in line the fee agreed at the start of the year with the CCG’s Audit Committee.

We have also completed the following pieces of work at the CCG during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IFRS Work</th>
<th>Additional work performed in relation to IFRS Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The fee for this work was £2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Headlines
This section summarises the key messages from our work during 2018-19.

### Financial Statements

| Financial Statements | We issued an unqualified opinion on the CCG’s accounts on 28th May 2019. This means that we believe the accounts give a true and fair view of the financial affairs of the CCG and of the income and expenditure recorded during the year.
| | There were no significant matters which were required to report to 'those charged with governance'.
| | We noted one adjustment in relation to the coding of the home oxygen costs. |

### Financial statements audit work undertaken

| Financial statements audit work undertaken | We are required to apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing our audit. We are required to plan our audit to determine with reasonable confidence whether or not the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An omission or misstatement is regarded as material if it would reasonably influence the user of financial statements. Our materiality for the audit was £9.2m (2017-18: £10m).
| | We identified the following risks of material misstatement in the financial statements as part of our External Audit Plan 2018-19:
| | — Risk 1 - Fraudulent expenditure recognition. In the public sector, auditors also consider the risk that material misstatements due to fraudulent financial reporting may arise from the manipulation of expenditure recognition (for instance by deferring expenditure to a later period). This may arise due to the audited body manipulating expenditure to meet externally set targets. We performed detailed testing over expenditure, including reviewing all significant contracts and reviewing how the CCG have complied with the AoB process. We had no concerns to report as a result of this work. |

### Regularity Opinion

| Regularity Opinion | We are required to form a view on the regularity of the CCG’s income and expenditure i.e. that the expenditure and income included in the CCG’s financial statements has been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them.
<p>| | We reviewed the CCG’s expenditure and income and in our opinion, in all material respects, it has been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions conform to the authorities which govern them. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Governance Statement</strong></th>
<th>We confirmed that the CCG complied with NHS England requirements in the preparation of the CCG’s Governance Statement.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole of Government Accounts</strong></td>
<td>We issued an unqualified Auditor Statement on the Consolidation Schedules prepared by the CCG for consolidation into the Whole of Government Accounts with no exceptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value for Money (VFM) conclusion</strong></td>
<td>We are required to report to you if we are not satisfied that the CCG has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Based on the findings of our work, we have nothing to report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **VFM conclusion risk areas** | We undertook a risk assessment as part of our VFM audit work to identify the key areas impacting on our VFM conclusion and considered the arrangements you have put in place to mitigate these risks.  
Our work identified the following significant risks:  
— Risk 1 - The CCG's Revenue Resource Limit was £504m with a planned cumulative surplus of £9.5m for the year. There were challenges for the CCG and the wider health community with the delivery of budgets that are under increasing pressure. The CCG also had to deliver a QIPP of £24.7m. The CCG reported that the overall QIPP target was met. Savings of £17.3 million were achieved recurrently against the target of £24.7 million but it was necessary to rely on non-recurrent mitigations to bridge the shortfall, by using the investment and contingency reserves held by the CCG. The CCG has achieved their control total of £515.6 million within the year but had an underlying deficit of £1 million at year-end. We have no concerns to raise as a result of this work.  
— Risk 2 - The CCG began closer working arrangements, including a joint governance structure from 1 April 2018. The Greater Nottingham Joint Commissioning Committee began formally operating from this date. The new structure includes a finance committee, quality and performance committee and Audit & Governance Committee in common. We reviewed the new arrangements in place as a result of the move to closer working. We reviewed the legal delegations in place and the terms of reference for each new committee. We have no concerns to raise as a result of this work. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>The CCG has been successful at implementing agreed audit recommendations from prior years, however, we have identified one prior year recommendations that still requires further action by management.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Interest Reporting</td>
<td>We have a responsibility to consider whether there is a need to issue a public interest report or whether there are any issues which require referral to the Secretary of State. We did not issue a report in the public interest or refer any matters to the Secretary of State in 2018-19.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A

Summary of our reports issued

Audit Plan (February 2019)
The Audit Plan set out our approach to the audit of the CCG's Financial Statements (including the Governance Statement) and our VFM conclusion work.

Audit Report (May 2019)
The Audit Report provides our audit opinion for the year, the Value for Money conclusion, and our Audit Certificate.

2018
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

External Audit Findings Memorandum (May 2019)
The External Audit Findings Memorandum provides details of the results of our audit for 2018-19 including key issues and recommendations raised as a result of our observations.

We also provided the mandatory auditing standards declarations as part of this report.

Annual Audit Letter (July 2019)
This Annual Audit Letter provides a summary of the results of our audit for 2018-19.